

February 2, 2024

**VIA ECF**

The Honorable Dale E. Ho  
U.S. District Judge  
U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York  
Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse  
40 Foley Square  
New York, NY 10007

Re: United States v. M/Y Amadea, No. 23-cv-9304 (DEH)

Dear Judge Ho:

Pursuant to the Court's January 17, 2024 Order, the United States and Claimants Eduard Khudainatov and Millemarin Investments Ltd. ("Claimants") submit this joint letter to report on the status of efforts to reach agreement on the issue of maintenance fees. *See* Dkt. No. 18 at 1. While the parties have met and conferred about this issue, we have not been able to reach a resolution.

As a result, the United States intends to file a motion for interlocutory sale of the property at issue in this case, and proposes the following briefing schedule for that motion:

- February 9, 2024: deadline for United States' motion
- February 23, 2024: deadline for Claimants' opposition
- March 1, 2024: deadline for United States' reply

Claimants' Position: On February 1, 2024, the government informed Claimants that it plans to file an amended complaint in lieu of opposing Claimants' motion to dismiss. Given the government's acknowledgment that the current complaint requires amending, the threshold question of whether the government has set forth a cognizable claim to seek forfeiture of the *Amadea* remains undetermined. As such, Claimants contend that a motion for interlocutory sale is premature and cannot meet the legal standard necessary for the Court to grant this relief, which requires, in the first instance, the existence of a legally cognizable claim for forfeiture. Claimants submit this Court should deny the government's request to file a motion seeking interlocutory sale and the proposed briefing schedule until after an operative complaint has survived a motion to dismiss and this court has determined the seizure was constitutional—only at that point would a motion for interlocutory sale be ripe. If the Court grants the government's request to file the interlocutory sale motion, Claimants do not object to the above schedule.

Moreover, if the court grants the government's motion schedule, Claimants request the following discovery schedule limited to the issues relevant to the interlocutory sale.

The Honorable Dale E. Ho  
February 2, 2024  
Page 2

Claimants serve Requests for Production and Interrogatories: February 6, 2024  
Government Production and Responses: February 9, 2024

For these same reasons, Claimants believe that any motion to substitute assets in this matter is also premature, and do not plan to file one on February 9, 2024 as previously discussed with the Court at the January 17, 2024 pretrial conference.

United States' Response: Claimants may present their argument that interlocutory sale would be premature in their opposition to the United States' motion. It is not a proper basis to delay the filing of a time-sensitive motion. Further, the Court should deny Claimants' request for expedited discovery related to this motion. The United States' motion will be accompanied by a declaration from the U.S. Marshals Service ("USMS") providing full evidentiary support for the relief requested, as is customary. *See, e.g., United States v. One 2005 Lagoon 440 Sailing Catamaran Named Bohemian Rhapsody*, No. CV 13-9262-DMG (JCX), 2017 WL 10573808, at \*1 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 22, 2017) (granting interlocutory sale based on "excessive" costs on strength of USMS declaration). If Claimants believe the declaration is insufficient (or otherwise wish to dispute the uncontroversial fact that monthly expenses to maintain a superyacht are sizeable), they may make that argument in their opposition.

We thank the Court for its consideration of this submission.

Respectfully Submitted,

DAMIAN WILLIAMS  
United States Attorney  
Southern District of New York

By: /s/ Jennifer Jude  
JENNIFER JUDE  
MICHAEL LOCKARD  
Assistant United States Attorneys

MARGARET A. MOESER  
Acting Chief  
Money Laundering and Asset Recovery  
Section, Criminal Division  
U.S. Department of Justice

By: /s/ Joshua L. Sohn  
JOSHUA L. SOHN  
Trial Attorney  
Money Laundering and Asset Recovery  
Section

The Honorable Dale E. Ho  
February 2, 2024  
Page 3

JENNIFER KENNEDY GELLIE  
Acting Chief  
Counterintelligence and Export Control  
Section, National Security Division  
U.S. Department of Justice

By: /s/ Yifei Zheng  
YIFEI ZHENG  
Trial Attorney  
Counterintelligence and Export Control  
Section (CES)

/s/ Adam C. Ford  
Adam C. Ford  
Renée L. Jarusinsky  
Ford O'Brien Landy LLP  
Counsel for Claimants Eduard Yurievich  
Khudainatov and Millemarin Investments Ltd.